

1
2
3
4 GABRIEL PINEIDA,
5 Plaintiff,
6 v.
7 CHARLES D. LEE, et al.,
8 Defendants.

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 Case No. 12-cv-01171-JST

7
8
9 **ORDER VACATING MOTION
HEARING**


10 Re: ECF No. 86

11
12 Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a second amended complaint. ECF
13 No. 86. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 78(b) and Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the Court
14 finds that the parties' briefs have thoroughly addressed the issues, rendering the matter suitable for
15 disposition without oral argument. The hearing on this matter, currently scheduled for May 22,
16 2014, is hereby VACATED.

17 However, if any party advises the Court in writing by no later than two days from the date
18 of this Order that most or all of the argument for its side will be conducted by a lawyer who has
19 been licensed to practice law for four or fewer years, and who has not previously presented
20 argument before this Court, then the Court will reschedule the hearing at a time that is convenient
21 to all parties in order to provide that opportunity. Counsel shall confer with each other, and the
22 party requesting the rescheduling of the hearing shall identify the upcoming available dates on the
23 Court's calendar at which all counsel are available for the hearing.

24
25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: May 12, 2014

27
28 
JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge